Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/551,988	HAMPRECHT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JOHN MABRY	1625
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>JOHN MABRY</u> .	(3)	
(2) Aydin Harston.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 January 2010	Time: 2:53 pm	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 103 rejection of record		
Claims discussed: 16, 17, 20 and 22-27		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/John Mabry/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/551,988

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant to discuss rejoinder of withdrawn claims as relating to the elected invention. Aydin Harston, PhD (agent) wanted rejoinder. Examiner notified Applicant that claim 21 was drawn to compounds not of the elected group. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Dr. Harston on January 28, 2010. The application has been amended as follows:

DELETE claim 21.